



PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,675	8,675 01/11/2001		Klaus Gloeckler	10191/1639	9544
26646	7590	03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	TORRES, JOSEPH D		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		· •	2133	f.i
			•	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i> ,
••	Advisory Action	09/758,675	GLOECKLER ET AL.	
	•	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph D. Torres	2133	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 26 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to avejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a	
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b) E:	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	:P
fee have fee und (2) as	we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cf	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office acti	tension ion; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b	b) I they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(0	they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
(c	they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendm	nent
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place t	he
.6.□	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
•	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10 and 12</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.⊠	The drawing correction filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is	s a)⊠ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.	Other:			
	•			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant contends, "The Examiner has objected to claims 1 and 3 9 on the grounds that in the phrase "activating the JTAG interface of the microprocessor with a test routine that is executable on the microprocessor and then transmitted to the JTAG interface" is ambiguous since no action is carried out prior to the "then" clause and it is unclear after what action the test routine is transmitted. Without passing judgment on the merits of this objection, claim 1 has been amended to recite "transmitting a test data stream provided by the test routine to the JTAG interface."

Newly added language would require another search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant contends, "As discussed in the Amendment responsive to the previous Office Action, Margolis does not in any way refer to a boundary scan procedure according to IEEE 1149, let alone refer to specific ways of implementing such a procedure". The Examiner asserts that that a JTAG interface such as the one taught in Figure 1 of Argade is an interface for controlling a boundary-scan procedure according to IEEE 1149.

--- CHY PATENT EXAMIN

DOY CENTER 2100